

### European citizens' initiative

Questionnaire to Member States



### Context and objectives

- Review of Regulation (EU) 2019/788
- Collection of information from MS about their experience with the ECI
- Focus on all MS responsibilities, including:
  - 1. General questions
  - 2. Questions on verification of Statements of support (SoS) (for competent authorities)
  - 3. Questions on information and assistance (for National Contact Points NCPs)
  - 4. Questions on certification of Individual Online Collection System (IOCS) (only for concerned MS'authorities)



#### 1. General

- Minimum age to support an initiative
- Sanctions in case of false declarations or fraudulent use of data
- Availability of eID means? Which ones?
  - If not, when does eID plan to be introduced?
- Impact of Covid-19 crisis
  - Effectiveness of temporary measures?
- Data protection
  - Procedure for erasure of SoS in compliance with Article 19(6)



#### 1. General

- Most significant improvements from MS perspective:
  - ☐ Clearer rules which make the European citizens' initiative more accessible
  - ☐ Clearer roles and responsibilities for personal data protection
  - ☐ Enhanced support for organisers
  - ☐ Easier access for citizens to support initiatives (also via the Central Online Collection System)
  - ☐ Increased visibility for the ECI, including with the help of the National Contact Points Higher impact for initiatives
  - None of the above



- MS general feedback
  - Type of Statements of support requiring more efforts/time to verify?
    - Paper forms
    - Online forms via IOCS
    - ☐ Central Online Collection System (COCS) forms
    - COCS forms with eID
  - Type of Statements of support with highest rejection rate?
    - Paper forms
    - Online forms
    - elDs (in case of MS having enabled it in COCS)



- Method used?
  - Exhaustive
  - Sampling
    - Sample size?
    - Identification of false errors rate?
    - Extrapolation of results
- Type of registry(ies) relied on?
  - Population
  - Electoral
  - Other



- Most common irregularities?
  - ☐ Lack of identity details
  - Multiple SoS
  - Lack of coherence of the personal data
  - ☐ Content of initiative inconsistent with the text published in the Eci register
  - Scanned paper versions not readable
  - ☐ Signatory is not resident
  - Invalid date
  - ☐ Signatory below legal age
  - No signature and/or date
- Suspicions of fraud or fraudulent use of data?



- File Exchange Service (FES)
  - Level of satisfaction with the support and guidance materials provided?
  - Suggestions for improvements?
  - Overall satisfaction regarding the functioning of the FES?



# 3. Information & assistance to citizens – Role of National Contact Points (NCPs)

- Frequency of citizens'requests
- Means to provide information to citizens/organisers
  - ☐ institution website
  - ☐ institution's social media channels
  - national events
  - targeted publications on the ECI
  - ☐ ECI visibility in public spaces
  - ☐ By reaching out with other relevant national institutions and partners
  - ☐ By responding to citizens/media requests



# 3. Information & assistance to citizens – Role of National Contact Points (NCPs)

- Cooperation with other actors (ECI ambassadors, COM rep.)?
- Feedback on communication materials
  - Is current material enough to fulfill your role?
  - How useful is current material?
  - Which additional material may be needed?



# 4. Certification of Individual Online Collection System (IOCS)

- Do you use testing procedures on security rules and requirements for the certification of IOCS?
- Encountered difficulties in checking compliance with the technical specifications provided in Article 11(4) of the ECI Regulation?
- Quality of documentation relating to risk management provided by organisers?
- Have you detected issues preventing the certification of an IOCS?
- Have you conducted checks in the course of collection?
- Other challenges?



### Questionnaire to MS

#### **START the SURVEY**

(available in all EU official languages)

Thank you

