ENRD Seminar – 13 June 2017 Opportunities and future perspectives for Resource Efficiency in Rural Areas



Developing Result-based Approaches Experience from England

Dr. Stephen Chaplin stephen.chaplin@naturalengland.org.uk

Overview



- 1. Background
- 2. Experience so far
- 3. Challenges
- 4. Applicability to resource efficiency

Background





- Pilot project in England, 3 year project, half way through
- Delivered by Natural England in partnership with the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority
- One of 3 pilot projects funded by DG Environment (for more details see http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/rbaps/index_en.htm)
- Testing 4 Biodiversity Objectives
 - 2 in arable farming systems
 - Provision of winter bird food
 - Provision of nectar resources for pollinators
 - 2 in upland grassland farming systems
 - Provision of wet grassland for breeding waders
 - Species rich grassland



Traditional Management Based Approach - GS6: Management of species-rich grassland



7 mandatory prescriptions (tailored for the site)

13 optional prescriptions (selected and tailored for the site)

11 Potential additional payment supplements (each with their own prescriptions) eg

- GS15 <u>Haymaking supplement</u>,
- GS16 Rush infestation control supplement
- SP1 <u>Difficult sites supplement</u>
- SP3 Bracken control supplement
- SP6 <u>Cattle grazing supplement</u>

Agreement holders have to provide evidence that prescriptions have been satisfied eg keeping records of stocking rates/movements, invoices, photographic evidence etc for each of these prescriptions.

Result Based Approach



Assessment must happen before the hay is cut and once the majority of species are in flower (late June to late July)

- 1. Presence of particular plant species recorded at 10 stops on a diagonal transect across the meadow.
 - Score based on positive indicator species +ve and negative indicator species –ve to give total meadow score.
- 2. An overall assessment of whether the land has been affected by damaging activities points deducted if the sward has been damaged by machinery.





Payment Rates



- Overall points score translates to a payment band.
- Calculation of payments consistent with 'income foregone and additional costs approach'

Tier	1	2	3	4	5
Total points	40 -79 points	80-119 points	120-159 points	160-199 points	200+ points
Grant £/ha	112	186	260	334	371

Benefits for farmers – Learning so far



Farmers have been incredibly positive and receptive

- They like the link between payment and result which focuses them on owning and understanding the results, rather than simply following management prescriptions.
- They really value the freedom to manage as they see fit to achieve outcomes in their specific location. Allowing them to use their own local knowledge and expertise.
 The lack of prescriptions provides flexibility at the field, farm, local, regional level rather than a national 'one-size fits all' set of prescriptions.
- If payment rates are structured carefully they can be motivated to try and achieve the higher environmental outcomes.
- They really appreciate not having to provide evidence/keep records that management prescriptions have been met!
- They are happy to accept the risk/trade-off between the freedom of the approach and the payment linked to results (at the start of the process at least!)

Key Challenges



- Identifying results Criteria/Assessment methodology
 - Balancing risk vs reward
- Control /Audit
- Advice and support

Applying the Approach to Resource Efficiency



No reason why this approach can't be applied in the context of resource efficiency. Key is identifying suitable result measures:

Objective	Measure		
Climate change mitigation	Soil Carbon		
Soil health	Soil organic matter		
Diffuse pollution	Soil Nutrient Status		
Soil biodiversity	eDNA species counts		

- Landscape/catchment scale Scope to do water quality testing and eg Sediment finger printing to identify precise sources.
- Most simple and inexpensive laboratory based analysis