

Second plea in law, alleging that the application of Article 4(1)(b) of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations to the appellant by the General Court in its judgment, and the Commission in the contested decision, gives rise to unjustified discrimination.

(<sup>1</sup>) Decision of 19 March 2018 of the Office for the Administration and Payment of Individual Entitlements withdrawing the appellant's entitlement to the expatriation allowance and the payment of travel expenses with effect from 1 December 2017.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Oberster Gerichtshof (Austria) lodged on 22 December 2020 — Avis Autovermietung Gesellschaft mbH v Verein für Konsumenteninformation**

(Case C-701/20)

(2021/C 98/08)

*Language of the case: German*

**Referring court**

Oberster Gerichtshof

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Appellant in the appeal on a point of law:* Avis Autovermietung Gesellschaft mbH

*Respondent in the appeal on a point of law:* Verein für Konsumenteninformation

**Question referred**

Do the rules in Chapter VIII, in particular in Article 80(1) and (2) and Article 84(1), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (<sup>1</sup>) ('the GDPR'), preclude national rules which — alongside the powers of intervention of the supervisory authorities responsible for monitoring and enforcing the regulation and the options for legal redress for data subjects — empower, on the one hand, competitors and, on the other, associations, entities and chambers entitled under national law, to bring proceedings for breaches of the GDPR, independently of the infringement of specific rights of individual data subjects and without being mandated to do so by a data subject, against the infringer before the civil courts on the basis of the prohibition of unfair commercial practices or breach of a consumer protection law or the prohibition of the use of invalid general terms and conditions?

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ 2016 L 119, p. 1.

---

**Appeal brought on 28 December 2020 by Zhejiang Jiuli Hi-Tech Metals Co. Ltd against the judgment of the General Court (Sixth Chamber) delivered on 15 October 2020 in Case T-307/18, Zhejiang Jiuli Hi-Tech Metals v Commission**

(Case C-718/20 P)

(2021/C 98/09)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Zhejiang Jiuli Hi-Tech Metals Co. Ltd (represented by: K. Adamantopoulos, dikigoros, P. Billiet, advocaat)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Commission

**Form of order sought**

The appellant claims that the Court should:

— set aside the contested judgment in its entirety;