

Seventh plea in law: infringement of Articles 20(1) and 20(5)(b) of Regulation No 806/2014, since the judgment of the General Court held that Deloitte had the status of ‘independent expert’.

Eighth plea in law: infringement of Article 24 of Regulation 806/2014 and Article 39(2)(b), (d) and (f) of Directive 2014/59⁽²⁾ by the judgment under appeal, since the obligation to maximise the sale price in the resolution process and to respect the principle of equality and transparency between the interested bidders was not complied with.

- (¹) Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 — OJ 2014 L 255, p. 1.
- (²) Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms and amending Council Directive 82/891/EEC, and Directives 2001/24/EC, 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2005/56/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2011/35/EU, 2012/30/EU and 2013/36/EU, and Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 648/2012, of the European Parliament and of the Council — OJ 2014 L 173, p. 190.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Ravensburg (Germany) lodged on 8 July 2022 — VX and AT v Gemeinde Ummendorf

(Case C-456/22)

(2022/C 359/57)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Ravensburg

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: VX, AT

Defendant: Gemeinde Ummendorf

Question referred

Is the concept of non-material damage in Article 82(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679⁽¹⁾ to be interpreted as meaning that the assumption of non-material damage requires a noticeable disadvantage and an objectively comprehensible impairment of personal interests, or is the mere short-term loss of the data subject’s unfettered control over his or her data due to the publication of personal data on the internet for a period of a few days, which did not have any noticeable or adverse consequences for the data subject, sufficient for that purpose?

- (¹) Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ 2016 L 119, p. 1).

Action brought on 8 July 2022 — European Commission v Kingdom of the Netherlands

(Case C-459/22)

(2022/C 359/58)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicant: European Commission (represented by: W. Roels, acting as Agent)

Defendant: Kingdom of the Netherlands