

### Your 10-Step Pocket Guide to Composite Indicators & Scoreboards



#### Define the concept to be measured

Clearly define the objectives and the end-users of the index

Map existing literature, indicator frameworks and definitions and assess the added-value of your index

Involve stakeholders, e.g. via workshops

STEP

Structure the concept into **framework** of dimensions

>> Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards



You may need to spend up to 2/3 of the overall time in defining the conceptual framework and the indicators.

5-7 indicators per dimension is a good practice. A minimum of 3 indicators by dimension is acceptable.

#### Select the indicators

#### COIN Tips

Aim for at least 65% of data coverage across each indicator and each country.

>> Assemble a pool of candidate indicators from the **literature review** 

STEP

Choose indicators based on criteria such as: relevance, data availability/reliability and credibility

➤ Keep track of all indicator decisions and characteristics in a summary table, e.g. coverage, type, descriptive statistics, source and year

Scale indicators by an appropriate size measure to have an objective comparison across countries, e.g. population, GDP, etc.

Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards

## Analyse and treat the data, where necessary

Visualise the distribution of each indicator using histograms and scatter-plots

Check for missing data and carefully decide whether or not to impute the data, and which method to use

STEP

Discuss and treat outliers, if needed, to avoid that they become unintended benchmarks

>> Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards

### Scoin Tips

Plot first and consider indicators for outlier treatment if: 1) absolute skewness > 2.0 and kurtosis > 3.5 or, 2) kurtosis is very high > 10

Winsorisation is one way to treat data, in which outliers are assigned the next highest/lowest score.

#### Bring all indicators onto a common scale

#### COIN Tips

A commonly-adopted normalisation method is the Min-Max approach, which rescales indicators onto an identical range (0-100) by subtracting the minimum value and dividing by the range of the indicator values. ➤ Make directional adjustments, so that higher indicator scores correspond to better performance in the concept being measured

STEP

Select a suitable **normalisation** method that respects the conceptual framework and the data properties

#### Weight the indicators and dimensions

Select a suitable **weighting method** which aligns with the goals of the index

STEP

- ▶ If appropriate, use expert elicitation to understand the relative importance of indicators and dimensions
- Consider whether correlations between indicators should be accounted for in the weights
- ➤ Keep in mind the ability to communicate the weighting scheme to your audience



Popular weigthing methods include equal weighting, factor analysis, derived weights, data envelopment analysis, expert opinion and the budget allocation method.

#### Aggregate the indicators and dimensions

#### COIN Tips

Popular aggregation methods include the arithmetic average, geometric average, Borda and Copeland. Consider whether **compensability among indicators** should be allowed, i.e. a deficit in one indicator can be compensated by a surplus in another

STEP

- Consider up to which level to aggregate
- Select a **suitable aggregation** method that respects the goals of the index
- ➤ Keep in mind the ability to communicate the aggregation method to your audience

# Assess the statistical and conceptual coherence

Check correlations between aggregations and the underlying indicators - are some over or under-represented in the aggregate scores?

STEP

➤ Assess whether statistical properties can be improved by moving indicators under different dimensions or merging/splitting dimensions

Check whether a bias has been introduced in the composite indicator, e.g. a strong correlation with population (>0.6) or GDP

✤ Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards



Check whether indicators:

- Dominate the framework: correlation > 0.95
- Are under-represented:
  -0.3 < correlation < 0.3</li>
- Are negatively related to the composite indicator: correlation < -0.3

#### Assess the impact of uncertainties

#### 🥃 COIN Tips

Provide the full ranks and index scores with confidence intervals in order to better appreciate the robustness of the ranks/scores to the modelling choices. Identify the main uncertainties underlying the index, e.g. methodological choices, indicator selection, etc.

STEP

➤ Assess the impact of the uncertainties on the scores or ranks. Use sensitivity analysis to see which assumptions cause the most uncertainty

Explain why certain countries notably improve or deteriorate their relative position given changes in the assumptions

#### Make sense of the data

Dig into the data to reveal narratives and stories for your audience. What question did you set out to answer?

STEP

**Decompose performance** at the dimension or indicator level to reveal strengths and limitations for each country or groups of countries

Correlate the index with relevant measurable phenomena and explain similarities or differences

>> Don't assume causality from correlation. Perform causality tests (if time series data is available)

>>> Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards



It is your role to find stories in the numbers. The tools don't know what those stories are. Your data visualisation tools will be more effective if combined with powerful narratives.

#### Present the data visually



### Scoln Tips

The best data graphics are usually the simplest. Well-designed graphics should focus on showing the findings clearly, be easy to read and decode the data.

A picture is worth a thousand words!

Focus first on what your **key messages** are and to whom you are aiming to communicate them

Select the **visualisation tools** which clearly communicate the messages without hiding vital information

Avoid over-complicated visuals and excessive cognitive load

▶ Valid both for composite indicators and scoreboards

## Composite indicators and scoreboards should be developed sensibly and used responsibly





composite-indicators.jrc.ec.europa.eu(😋 COIN Tool available on our website)



jrc-coin@ec.europa.eu