Catalogue No. 96-10

COMPARISON OF DATA ON ABSENCES BETWEEN SLID AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY (AWS)

Product Registration Number 75F0002M

December 1996

Nathalie Noreau, Household Surveys Division

The SLID Research Paper Series is intended to document detailed studies and important decisions for the Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics. These research papers are available in English and French. To obtain a summary description of available documents or to obtain a copy of any, please contact Philip Giles, Manager, SLID Research Paper Series, by mail at 7-C6 Jean Talon Building, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA K1A 0T6, by INTERNET (GILES@STATCAN.CA), by telephone (613) 951-2891, or by fax (613) 951-3253.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Absence from Work Survey (AWS) has been conducted annually by Statistics Canada on a cost-recovery basis for Human Resources Development Canada. The purpose of this study was to determine whether SLID could provide the same data, and if so, how do the estimates compare between the two surveys.

The results show that the estimates are "close" but that the SLID estimates are generally higher. This study has not compared estimates of compensation received during work absences. The fundamental differences in the collection approach lead to difficulties in comparisons.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
1.	Introd	uction	1
2.	Metho	odological differences	2
3.	Restric	ctions applied to the SLID file	4
4.	Compa	arison of results	5
5.	Conclu	usion	9
Refere	ences		10
Appen	dix 1:	SLID questionnaire	11
Appen	ndix 2:	Data tables	21
Appen	ıdix 3:	1994 AWS questionnaire	40

1. INTRODUCTION

Before we can compare absence data from the Absence from Work Survey (AWS) with similar data from the Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics (SLID), we must document some differences between the two surveys. Although the AWS and the SLID both relate to reference year 1993 for the purposes of this study, they are distinct in many respects. For example, the AWS covers only absences due to illness, accident or pregnancy, whereas the SLID presents respondents with a wider range of reasons to choose from. Another difference has to do with the respondent's employment. The AWS gathers information about all of the respondent's paid employment considered as a whole, which implies that no information is collected about individual jobs. The SLID, on the other hand, collects data concerning each job held by the worker during the reference year.

There are, of course, many other differences between the two surveys, but they need not be mentioned here. In order to compare AWS and SLID absence data, we must apply certain restrictions to the SLID sample during programming to make it more compatible with the AWS sample. There nevertheless remain some methodological and conceptual discrepancies that cannot be mitigated through programming. They include:

- < different sample sizes
- < the respondent's age in SLID questions on work</p>
- < all jobs combined versus each job held
- < different reference periods for demographic variables
- < compensation for absences

In the next section, we will examine these differences and discuss possible reasons for the inconsistency between AWS data and SLID data. Following that, we will look at the restrictions applied to the SLID file and then compare the results. The

portion of the SLID questionnaire that deals with absences and compensation is provided in Appendix 1, along with the AWS questionnaire in Appendix 3.

2. METHODOLOGICAL DIFFERENCES

1) Sample size

For both the AWS and the SLID, the sample is drawn from the Labour Force Survey sample, which consists of six rotation groups totalling 62,168 households. Two rotation groups were selected for each of the two surveys. However, only a subset of the two groups selected was used in the SLID; the latter's sample comprised 15,904 households, compared with 16,768 for the AWS. The two surveys do not have the same number of respondents between the ages of 15 and 69; there are about 10% fewer such respondents in the SLID than in the AWS (29,745 compared with 32,895). However, not all of these people are paid workers, and therefore not all of them completed the AWS questionnaire, which decreased the number of AWS respondents. The use of a small sample usually results in a lesser degree of precision and a more approximate picture of reality in the data.

2) Age of respondents

The SLID's questions concerning work are to be answered by respondents between the ages of 16 and 69, whereas the AWS covers workers between 15 and 69 years of age. This adds somewhat to the sampling differences, though the proportion of 15-year-old workers absent from work for two weeks or more must be <u>very small</u>. This difference probably does not contribute substantially to the discrepancies in the surveys' findings.

3) Jobs considered

There is a difference in how the two surveys collect employment data. AWS respondents are instructed to consider all their jobs combined when reporting their most recent absence from work. Consequently, it cannot be determined whether there were absences from just one job or from more than one job.

The SLID collects data for up to six jobs held by respondents in the reference year; not all of them are necessarily considered to be primary employment. Moreover, in 1993, much more detailed information was gathered about three of the six jobs, usually the most important ones. Although only 0.5% of respondents reported holding more than three jobs, detailed characteristics, including absences from work, have been collected for all six jobs since the 1994 survey.

For 1993, the SLID collected information (start and end date of absence, reason given, etc.) for the two most recent absences from each of the three jobs, which yields a maximum of six possible absences per worker. Thus, the SLID provides much more detailed information than the AWS.

4) Demographic variables

The data for demographic variables such as age and sex do not necessarily apply to the same reference period in the two surveys. This is due to the fact that the AWS is conducted as a supplement to the Labour Force Survey (LFS) in February of each year, and that the AWS covers only absences from work. Hence, the demographic data are collected through the LFS and apply to the LFS reference week for February 1994. The SLID, on the other hand, covers the entire reference year (1993 in this case) and gathers demographic data for that year.

5) Compensation received for absences

Data concerning compensation received for absences from work are not collected in the same way by the two surveys. The AWS questionnaire contains one item relating to the compensation received at the time of the most recent absence, while the SLID's questions about compensation are in a completely separate module from the questions on absences. The SLID questionnaire consists of several modules designed to complement each other without duplicating questions. The "compensation" module was created so that respondents would only have to answer the compensation question once rather than separately for each absence or period without work. This procedure also prevented respondents from making errors of association between absences and compensation. The drawback is that the procedure makes it difficult for analysts to connect specific cases of compensation with specific absences, since the questions refer to the entire year rather than to the actual absences themselves.

3. RESTRICTIONS APPLIED TO THE SLID FILE

To compare the absence data collected by the two surveys, a number of restrictions had to be applied to the SLID file because of its complexity and highly detailed nature.

- First, although respondents had a large number of reasons for absences to choose from, we had to select only those relating to illness, accident or pregnancy.
- The SLID collects detailed information about two absences from each job held in the reference year, up to a maximum of three jobs (in 1993).
 Consequently, the most recent absence during the year had to be identified, and the required information had to be isolated in order to ensure compatibility with the AWS, which asks respondents only about their most recent absence.

Since the compensations received for absences are not directly linked with the absences themselves, this had to be done during programming, which turned out to be quite complicated. Since respondents did not necessarily receive their compensation during the absence itself, it was necessary to allow a certain margin of time around the absence in order to catch those instances where compensation is provided after or slightly before the absence. In this case, a three-month cushion was established so that compensations could be linked with respondents' absences from work. The cushion includes the month preceding the month in which the absence began and the two months following the date on which the absence ended. This procedure also decreases slightly the margin of error associated with respondents' memory of the exact start and end date of their absence.

4. COMPARISON OF RESULTS

Several SLID tables have been requested by Human Resources Development Canada. This section of our report focuses on those tables, along with the ones produced from AWS data (Tables 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 12a and 12b). We have also included a few additional tables that are intended, in part, to synthesize the information in the aforementioned tables. Some other tables contain new information. The tables are presented in Appendix 2.

A side-by-side comparison of the tables derived from AWS data with those based on SLID data reveals the substantial differences between the two data sets.

In Tables 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d, for example, the SLID results appear to be, in most cases, higher than the AWS results. Yet there is some consistency in the relationships between the data for the various groups being studied. The percentages accounted for by the various age groups and weeks-worked categories

are in good agreement, for both sexes. In addition, in both surveys the <u>average</u> number of weeks worked differs from group to group and overall.

In Tables 12a and 12b, the SLID data are quite different from the AWS data. Thus the two surveys' absence data appear to be less comparable than the data pertaining to more general labour force characteristics (Tables 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d). A strong similarity in the figures for total absences masks numerous discrepancies at a more detailed level. For example, the figures for completed absences (Table 12a) are, in most cases, higher for the AWS than for the SLID; the only exceptions are absences of 9-11 weeks and absences of 18 weeks or more. The results for hours usually worked are also appreciably different. Another important finding is that there is much higher incidence of incomplete absences in the SLID (2.8 times greater than in the AWS). The AWS is missing data for incomplete absences because respondents were asked in February about absences that were incomplete at that time. It is not surprising, therefore, that data for incomplete absences of 2-8 weeks are missing.

Important note: The SLID results were adjusted with the labour force cross-sectional weight. In order to keep the coefficient of variation within acceptable limits and maintain data quality, we generally suppress any unweighted value lower than 25. That is why there are so many dashes (--) in the more detailed tables (Tables 12a and 12b).

Several summary tables have been added to provide a broader view of the results. A few of those tables contain totals from previous tables as well as percentages to clarify the differences in the data from the two sources. As Table A shows, there is an appreciable gap between the two surveys in the number of paid workers for almost every weeks-worked group and in the corresponding percentage distributions. The percentages are closest in 44-51 weeks-worked group. There is

also a difference of 3.7 weeks in the average number of weeks worked (42.3 in the AWS and 38.6 in the SLID).

Table B provides an overview of completed and incomplete absences based on the two data sources. It shows that overall, the numbers of absences for 1993 are quite similar. There is nevertheless a larger difference in incomplete absences, which are much more numerous in the SLID. This finding is reinforced by the percentage distribution of the two types of absences.

Table C presents the totals for completed absences and the percentages accounted for by the various weeks-absent groups. A glance at the totals suggests that the results for the two surveys are fairly similar. At a more detailed level, however, the numbers of absences differ substantially: the AWS appears to show more short-term absences than the SLID, while the opposite is true for absences of 18 weeks or more. The percentages relative to the total and the cumulative percentages are also fairly different, which reflects the major discrepancies between the two surveys, not all of which are related to sampling variability.

Table D shows the totals for incomplete absences and the percentage distributions across the duration groups. Here again, the results for the two surveys are quite different. In particular, there is a rather large number of short-term (2-8 weeks) incomplete absences in the SLID; this suggests that many of the absences occurred late in the year and were not yet complete on December 31, 1993. As mentioned earlier, short-term (2-8 weeks) incomplete absences are not included in the AWS data because the AWS asks respondents in February about absences that are incomplete at that time. The AWS figures for all the other weeks-absent groups are also much lower. In addition, the percentages accounted for by the various duration groups relative to the total are not really comparable for the two data sources.

Tables E contain data for completed and incomplete absences by number of worker absences in 1993. Comparison of the data for completed absences reveals further differences: the AWS seems to have more cases where there is only one absence, while the SLID has more instances of two or more absences. This is partly due to the fact that the SLID asks detailed questions about each absence and reminds respondents of what they said about absences in the previous interview. The percentage distributions of incomplete absences are fairly similar for the two surveys, although in absolute terms, incomplete absences are more numerous in the SLID. At the very least, this appears to indicate a small degree of consistency between the two surveys.

SLID data on the number of paid workers who received compensation at least once in 1993 are presented in Table F. However, of the 4.3 million workers who received compensation, only a small portion (776,000 or fewer) actually had a period of absence. Moreover, not all of the absences were compensated through unemployment insurance, workers' compensation or social assistance. Some workers continued to receive full or partial salary, while others did not receive any form of compensation for their absence. A great many paid workers (3.3 million) received unemployment insurance benefits during the year. More men than women received unemployment insurance and workers' compensation benefits, while the opposite was true for social assistance.

Tables G1 and G2 contain SLID and AWS data on compensation received through unemployment insurance and workers' compensation. These two kinds of compensation were selected because the SLID does not cover any other types of compensation except social assistance, whereas the AWS asks respondents about a multitude of compensation sources, excluding social assistance. In addition, a major difference to be taken into consideration when comparing the results of the two surveys is that in the SLID, unlike the AWS, it is very difficult to link the

absence with the compensation received for it (see Methodological differences). Table G1 shows SLID data on the number of paid workers who had an absence in 1993 and received compensation, though the compensation was not necessarily related to the absence. Table G2 presents AWS data on absences for which workers received one or both types of compensation. As shown in the table, many more absences were compensated through workers' compensation than through unemployment insurance.

Tables H1 and H2 contain data from the two sources concerning absences from work for which full or partial remuneration was provided by the employer. Remuneration refers to the pay an employee generally receives from his/her employer. Unlike compensation (see Tables G1 and G2), remuneration by the employer is part of the same SLID module as absences. Hence there is no difficulty in matching absences with remuneration. According to SLID data (Table H1), the employer provided full or partial remuneration for half of all absences from work in 1993, whereas according to AWS data (Table H2), this occurred in only slightly over a third of the cases. It follows that the employer provided no financial assistance in about two thirds (65%) of all absences from work, according to the AWS (53% according to the SLID). Workers in this situation must specifically seek other types of compensation when they expect to be absent for an extended period. Note that when the employer does provide full or partial remuneration, other sources of compensation are not excluded; at least one other form of financial assistance was involved in many of the cases covered in Tables H1 and H2.

5. CONCLUSIONS

It is never easy to compare data from two different surveys. When making such comparisons, one must take various factors into account, including the large

number of methodological and conceptual differences and the restrictions that have to be applied to make the samples compatible.

The tables presented in this study clearly illustrate the differences between the two surveys. In most cases, the SLID figures are higher; the only exception is the number of <u>completed</u> absences. This is attributable in part to the detailed nature of the questionnaire that SLID respondents must complete. The detail in the questionnaire (detailed characteristics of each job) and the fact that respondents are reminded of the answers they gave the previous year play a major role in ensuring thorough data collection.

Any comparison of data from the two sources regarding compensation received for absences from work (other than remuneration by the employer) is very difficult. As mentioned earlier, linking compensations with absences is a labourious task in the SLID, since the two variables are collected and classified in two different modules. The difficulty is exacerbated by the fact that respondents are asked about compensations received during the entire year, and not during specific absences of a given duration.

REFERENCES

Statistics Canada, *SLID labour interview questionnaire: February 1994*, SLID research paper series, catalogue number 94-05.

Statistics Canada, *SLID labour interview questionnaire: January 1995*, SLID research paper series, catalogue number 95-03.

APPENDIX 1: SLID QUESTIONNAIRE

This appendix presents part of the questionnaire used in the SLID labour interview. These questions were asked in February 1994 relating to data for 1993. Questions on job absences are not a module by themselves; they are part of the module CHAR.

CHAR MODULE (ABSENCES)

If Employer Type 1 or 3, go to CHAR-Q46, If Employer Type 2, go to CHAR-Q46T2

CHAR-Q46: NOT COUNTING FULLY PAID VACATION, WAS [respondent] ABSENT FROM THIS JOB FOR A PERIOD OF ONE WEEK OR LONGER?

Yes Go to CHAR-Q49

No Else Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q46T2: **BEFORE RETURNING TO WORK FOR [employer] DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY PAY FROM THIS EMPLOYER?**

Yes Go to CHAR-Q47

No Go to CHAR-Q48

CHAR-Q47: WAS THAT FULL OR PARTIAL PAY?

Full pay

Partial pay

CHAR-Q48: AFTER RETURNING TO WORK FOR [employer], WAS HE/SHE ABSENT FROM THIS JOB FOR A PERIOD OF ONE WEEK OR LONGER, NOT COUNTING FULLY PAID VACATIONS?

Yes Go to CHAR-Q48A

No Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q48A: HOW MANY TIMES WAS HE/SHE ABSENT AFTER RETURNING TO WORK ON [return date from DATES-Q9]?

Interviewer enters number of times

If only once MAKE [last] = a blank, if more than one make [last]

= LAST and go to CHAR-Q59

DK/R Go to CHAR-Q48B

Hard Range: 1-52

Soft Range: 1-20

CHAR-Q48B: WOULD YOU SAY IT WAS MORE THAN ONCE?

Yes MAKE [last] = LAST

No MAKE [last] = blank

Go to CHAR-Q59

DK/R -Else Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-O49: HOW MANY TIMES WAS HE/SHE ABSENT?

Interviewer enters number of times

If only once MAKE [first] = a blank, otherwise [first] = FIRST and

[last]= LAST. Go to CHAR-Q50

DK - Go to CHAR-Q49A

R - Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

Hard Range: 1-52 **Soft Range:** 1-20

CHAR-Q49A: WOULD YOU SAY IT WAS MORE THAN ONCE?

Yes MAKE [first] = FIRST, [last] = LAST

NO MAKE [first] = blank

DK/R - Else Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q50: WHEN DID [respondent]'S [first] ABSENCE BEGIN?

Interviewer enters date, Go to CHAR-Q51

DK/R - Go to CHAR-Q51

Hard Range: must be within dates worked for this employer.

CHAR-Q51: WHEN DID THIS ABSENCE END?

Interviewer - If absence continued into 1994, enter 31/12/93.

Interviewer enters date

If start date from CHAR-Q50 or end date from CHAR-Q51 are

DK/R, Go to CHAR-Q52

If not, Go to CHAR-Q53

Hard Range: must be within start date of absence, and date work ended, or year end if unended.

CHAR-Q52: ABOUT HOW MANY WEEKS WOULD YOU SAY THIS ABSENCE LASTED?

Interviewer enters estimate, Go to CHAR-Q53

Hard Range: 1-52.

CHAR-Q53: WHAT WAS THE MAIN REASON FOR THIS ABSENCE?

Own illness or disability Go to CHAR-Q54

Pregnancy

Caring for own children

Caring for elder relative(s)

Other personal or family responsibilities

School or educational leave

Labour dispute

Temporary layoff due to seasonal conditions

Temporary layoff - non-seasonal

Unpaid or partially paid vacation

Other - Specify

Go to CHAR-Q55

CHAR-Q54: WAS THIS DUE TO A WORK RELATED ILLNESS OR INJURY?

Yes/No/dk/r

CHAR-Q55: DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY PAY FROM [employer] FOR THIS ABSENCE?

Yes Go to CHAR-Q56

No/DK/R If seasonal layoff, Go to CHAR-Q57

Otherwise, if more than 1 absence, Go to CHAR-Q59.

IF 1 absence Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q56: WAS THAT FULL OR PARTIAL PAY?

Full pay

Partial pay

If seasonal layoff, Go to CHAR-Q57

Otherwise, If more than 1 absence, go to CHAR-Q59.

If 1 absence Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q57: **DID HE/SHE LOOK FOR ANOTHER JOB DURING THIS ABSENCE?**

Yes -If absence start and end dates complete, Go to CHAR-Q58

If not complete, Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer. If
no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

No/DK/R If more than 1 absence, go to CHAR-Q59

Otherwise Go to CHAR-Q1 for next employer, if no more
employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q58: IN WHICH MONTHS DID HE/SHE LOOK FOR ANOTHER JOB?

Interviewer: Months marked should be within [start date of absence] to [end date of absence].

If dates inconsistent with dates of absence, go back to CHAR-Q50 or CHAR-Q58 to correct.

If more than one absence, GO to CHAR-Q59 otherwise Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer. If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

Edit on month(s): must be within dates of absence.

CHAR-Q59: WHEN DID [respondent]'s [last] ABSENCE FROM THIS JOB IN 1993 BEGIN?

Interviewer enters date, Go to CHAR-Q60

Hard Range: must be between end date of first absence and end date of job for type 1 or 3 - and between return date and end date of job, for type 2.

CHAR-Q60 WHEN DID THIS ABSENCE END?

Interviewer - If absence continued into 1994, enter 31/12/93.

Interviewer enters date.

If start or end date are DK/R, Go to CHAR-Q61

Otherwise, Go to CHAR-Q62

Hard range: must be after end of first absence and before end date of job, or 31/12/93 if unended.

CHAR-Q61 ABOUT HOW MANY WEEKS WOULD YOU SAY THIS ABSENCE LASTED?

Interviewer enters estimate, Go to CHAR-Q62

DK/R Go to CHAR-Q62

Hard range: 1-51

CHAR-Q62: WHAT WAS THE MAIN REASON FOR THIS [last]

ABSENCE?

Own illness or disability Go to CHAR-Q63

Pregnancy

Caring for own children

Caring for elder relative(s)

Other personal or family responsibilities

School or educational leave

Labour dispute

Temporary layoff due to seasonal conditions

Temporary layoff - non-seasonal

Unpaid or partially paid vacation

Other - Specify

Go to CHAR-Q64

CHAR-Q63: WAS THIS DUE TO A WORK RELATED ILLNESS OR INJURY?

Yes

No

CHAR-Q64: **DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY PAY FROM [employer] FOR THIS ABSENCE?**

Yes Go to CHAR-Q65

No

If seasonal layoff, Go to CHAR-Q66

Otherwise, Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q65: WAS THAT FULL OR PARTIAL PAY?

Full pay

Partial pay

CHAR-Q66: **DID** [respondent] LOOK FOR ANOTHER JOB DURING THIS ABSENCE?

Yes If absence start and end dates complete, Go to CHAR-Q67.

Else Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

No/DK/R Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

CHAR-Q67: IN WHICH MONTHS [respondant] LOOK FOR ANOTHER JOB?

Interviewer: Months marked should be within the dates shown above.

Interviewer enters information. If inconsistent dates with dates of absence go to CHAR-Q67E, go back to CHAR-Q59 or CHAR-Q67 to correct

Go to CHAR-Q1 and repeat for next employer

If no more employers, Go to SEARCH-BEGIN

Edit on month(s): must be within start and end dates of absence.

COMP MODULE (COMPENSATIONS)

COMP-Q1: **DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY INCOME FROM UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE IN 1996?**

Yes Go to COMP-Q2 No Go to COMP-Q3

COMP-Q2: IN WHICH MONTHS?

All months of the year - Go to COMP-Q3

Specify months Go to COMP-Q2A

COMP-Q2A: Interviewer: Indicate months unemployment insurance received.

Select from list of months, mark all that apply.

COMP-Q3: **DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY INCOME FROM WORKERS COMPENSATION IN 1993?**

Yes Go to COMP-Q4 No Go to COMP-Q5

COMP-Q4: IN WHICH MONTHS?

All months of the year - Go to COMP-Q5
Specify months Go to COMP-Q4A

COMP-Q4A: Interviewer: Indicate months workers compensation received.

Select from list of months, mark all that apply.

COMP-Q5: DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY INCOME FROM SOCIAL ASSISTANCE OR WELFARE IN 1993?

Yes Go to COMP-Q6 No Go to EDUC-Q1A

COMP-Q6: **IN WHICH MONTHS?**

All months of the year - Go to EDUC-Q1A Specify months Go to COMP-Q6A

COMP-Q6A: Interviewer: Indicate months social assistance received.

Select from list of months, mark all that apply.

Go to EDUC-Q1A

APPENDIX 2: TABLES

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS
TABLE 4A: PAID WORKERS BY AGE, SEX AND NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED, CANADA 1993

Age groups 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-69 years years years years years years years Total Sex Weeks worked 25,465 62,169 Male < 1 wks. 1-9 wks. 173,942 187,603 216,851 117,177| 45,724 820,373 76,468 10-13 wks. 55,539| 118,880 112,358 62,630 29,071 397,712 14-19 wks. 40,596 138,845 152,908 68,264 50,068 23,579 475,778 20-27 wks. 63,862 80,340 140,771 80,055 67,545 48,817 482,987 28-35 wks. 45,335 109,261 134,391 99,715 48,299 40,610 -- | 478.437 36-43 wks. 22,753 67,871 37,427 484,854 83,340 159,636 110,162 44-51 weeks 18,197 81,558| 216,991 180,874 119,828 60,029 680,819 > 51 wks. 118,065| 355,824 1,229,766 4,137,402 1,244,684 799,818 353,031 36,214 1,165,314| Total 545,387 2,404,054 1,955,832 1,265,813 629,829 54,300 | 8,020,529 Female Weeks worked < 1 wks. 68,759 1-9 wks. 142,490 173,966 216,024 111,768 62,110 13,972 721,331 10-13 wks. 52,229 92,852 137,059 56,557 36,076 396,480 14-19 wks. 64,751 155,132 140,034 77,780 45,672 21,216| 507,014 20-27 wks. 55,538 95,434 148,920 119,208 51,475 28,870 l 501.200 28-35 wks. 62.647 91.900 126.362 100.105 44.369 24.113 453.041 36-43 wks. 26,271 176,819 23,192 497,961 67,242 136,069 67,260 44-51 wks. 27,178| 74,488 200,851 165,441 148,749 58,917 680,372 > 51 wks. 125,588 314,551 961,616 1,135,538 727,305 279,144 22,579 3,566,322 Total 566,461 1,084,115 2,122,587 | 1,910,408 | 1,191,876 476,674 40,358 7,392,478 Total Weeks worked < 1 wks. 16,866 28,212 40,368 15,130 130,928 15,703 1-9 wks. 316,432 361,568 432,875 228,945 138,578 59,695 1,541,703 794,192 10-13 wks. 107,768| 211,732 249,417 119,188| 65,147 35,118| 14-19 wks. 105,347 293,977 292,942 146,044 95,740 44,795 982,792 20-27 wks. 119,400 175,774 289,690| 199,263 119,020 77,686 984,187 28-35 wks. 107,983 201,161 260.752 199,820 92,669 64,723 931,478 982,815 36-43 wks. 49,024 150,582 336,454 246,231 135,131 60,620 44-51 wks. 45,375 156,047 417,842 346,315 268,577 118,946 -- | 1,361,190 > 51 wks. 58,793 | 7,703,724 243,653 670,375 2,206,300 | 2,365,304 | 1,527,123 632,176 Total 1,111,848 | 2,249,429 | 4,526,641 | 3,866,240 | 2,457,688 | 1,106,504 94,658 | 15,413,008

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS TABLE 4B: PAID WORKERS WHO WORKED 8 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK, BY AGE, SEX AND NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED, CANADA 1993

Age group 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-69 Total years years years years years years years Sex Wks.worked Male < 1 wks. 52,827 1-9 wks. 779,604 156,071 177.781 213,436 114,565 69.817 45,326 10-13 wks. 389,035 52,825 117,910 109,995 62,014 27,058 14-19 wks. 38,179 125,081 148,802 63,194 44,745 21,072 442,591 20-27 wks. 49,986 76,541 137,124 79,447 66,580 48,512 459,008 28-35 wks. 44,341 102,872 132,680 97,705 46,404 40,467 465,294 36-43 wks. 21,306 80,626 159,532 109,192 67,871 37,427 479,101 16,798 44-51 wks. 76,109 214,170 175.527 118,706 60,029 664,162 > 51 wks. 93,885 329,503 1,214,474 | 1,212,354 791,674 345,626 34,476 | 4,021,993 478,461 1,093,789 2,352,249 1,921,036 1,239,362 617,972 50,745 7,753,614 Female Wks.worked 40,446 < 1 wks. 1-9 wks. 125,263| 165,725 195,636 104,474 660,434 57,556 10-13 wks. 49,788 86,614 125,710 48,363 34,002 365,260 14-19 wks. 53,929 143,514 127,907 67,041 38,441 448,610 20-27 wks. 42,366 86,584 141,619 112,614 48,027 21,793| 454,759 28-35 wks. 54,290 84,941 120,181 91,472 43,316 22,3971 420,141 453.472 36-43 wks. 20.655 58.896 165,632 122,848 65,319 19.014 44-51 wks. 24,813 66,691 190,569 157,160 142,820 52,546 639,345 > 51 wks. 102,654 276,976 917,102 | 1,084,166 | 684,488 260,951 -- 3,345,374 481,379 979,650 1,995,174 1,792,041 1,117,207 425,573 36,817 | 6,827,842 Total Wks.worked 17.073 32,854 93,273 < 1 wks 1-9 wks. -- 1,440,037 281,334 343,507 409,072 219,039 127,373 56,104 10-13 wks. 102,613| 204,525 235,705 110,376 34,194 754,295 61,060 14-19 wks. 92,107| 276,709| 130,236 268,595 83,186 36,423| 891,201 20-27 wks. 92,353 163,125 278,744 192,061 114,607 70,305 913,767 28-35 wks. 98,630 187,813 252,861 189,177 89,720 62,864 --1 885,435 36-43 wks. 41,960 139,522 325,165 232,040 133,190 56,441 932,573 44-51 wks. 142,800 404,739 332,687 112,575 -- 1,303,506 41,611 261,526 > 51 wks. 196,539 606,479 2,131,576 | 2,296,519 1,476,162 606,577 53,514 7,367,367 2,073,439 | 4,347,424 | 3,713,077 | 2,356,569 | 1,043,544 | 87,562 | 14,581,456

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS
TABLE 4C: PAID WORKERS BY AGE, SEX AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED, CANADA 1993

		Sex		
		 Male		Total
Age groups	 		<u>+</u> -	
 16-19 years	# total Weeks worked	13,401,401	14,881,103	28,282,50
	# total workers	545,387	566,461	1,111,848
	# ave. Weeks worked	24.57	26.27	25.4
20-24 years	# total Weeks worked	36,249,802	32,615,714	68,865,51
	# total workers	1,165,314	1,084,115	2,249,429
	# ave. Weeks worked	31.11	30.09	30.6
25-34 years	# total Weeks worked	94,449,270		174,205,54
	# total workers	2,404,054	2,122,587	4,526,64
	# ave. Weeks worked	39.29	37.58	38.48
35-44 years	# total Weeks worked	84,859,358	81,280,989	166,140,346
	# total workers	1,955,832	1,910,408	3,866,240
	# ave. Weeks worked	43.39	42.55	42.9
45-54 years	# total Weeks worked	54,928,771		106,957,859
	# total workers	1,265,813	1,191,876	2,457,688
	# ave. Weeks worked	43.39	43.65	43.52
55-64 years	# total Weeks worked	26,059,651		46,557,045
	# total workers	629,829	476,674	1,106,504
	# ave. Weeks worked	41.38	43.00	42.08
65-69 years	# total Weeks worked	2,350,187	1,674,017	4,024,20
	# total workers	54,300	40,358	94,658
	# ave. Weeks worked	43.28	41.48	42.51
 Total	# total Weeks worked	312,298,439		
	# total workers	8,020,529	7,392,478	15,413,008
	# ave. Weeks worked	38.94	38.25	38.6

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS TABLE 4D: PAID WORKERS WHO WORKED8 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK, BY AGE SEX AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED, CANADA 1993

Male Female Total Age groups 16-19 Years # Total weeks 11,447,500 12,462,414 23,909,914 worked # total workers 478,461 481,379 959,840 Ave. Weeks worked 23.93 25.89 24.91 20-24 Years # Total weeks worked 33,920,885 29,203,642 63,124,527 # total workers 1,093,789 979,650 2,073,439 Ave. Weeks worked 31.01 29.81 30.44 25-34 Years # Total weeks 92,483,487 75,667,299 168,150,786 worked # total workers 2,352,249 1,995,174 4,347,424 Ave. Weeks worked 39.32 38.68 35-44 Years # Total weeks worked 83,474,581 76,934,550 160,409,130 3,713,077 1,921,036 1,792,041 # total workers 43.45 42.93 43.20 Ave. Weeks worked 45-54 Years # Total weeks 54,213,441 49,137,250 103,350,691 # total workers 1,239,362 1,117,207 2,356,569 43.74 43 98 43 86 Ave. Weeks worked 55-64 Years # Total weeks 25,617,994 18,710,457 44,328,451 worked 617,971 425,573 1,043,544 # total workers Ave. Weeks worked 41.45 43.97 42.48 65-69 Years # Total weeks worked 2,191,776 1,490,378 3,682,155 # total workers 50,745 87.562 36,817 42.05 Ave. Weeks worked 43.19 40.48 Total # Total weeks worked 303,349,664 263,605,991 566,955,654 # total workers 7,753,614| 6,827,841 14,581,456 Ave. Weeks worked 39.12 38.61 38.88

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS TABLE 12A: ABSENCES FOR SICKNESS, PREGNANCY OR ACCIDENT BY LENGTH AND NUMBER OF ABSENCES BY USUAL HOURS WORKED, CANADA 1993

 		Length of absence (terminated)							
	2 wks	 3-5 wks	6-8 wks	 9-11 wks	 12-14 wks	 15-17 wks	18 wks &	Not terminated	Total
Hrs usually worked				+ 	 			 	
1-7 hrs									22,12
8-14 hrs	·				 				28,83
15-19 hrs									26,565
20-24 hrs									37,10
25-29 hrs									25,50
30-34 hrs									34,70
35-39 hrs	46,135	29,541	19,655				40,097	58,059	227,41
40-44 hrs	49,887	55,683	38,866	24,919			49,067	93,544	333,53
45-49 hrs	· †								13,88
50 & +	· †			 					26,12
Total	119,091	141,947	69,832	58,327	31,814	15,812	127,372	211,597	775,79

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS TABLE 12B: ABSENCES (NON TERMINÉES) FOR SICKNESS, PREGNANCY OR ACCIDENT BY LENGTH AND NUMBER OF ABSENCES, BY HOURS USUALLY WORKED, CANADA 1993

	Length of absence (not terminated)								
	2 wks	3-5 wks	6-8 wks	9-11 wks	12-14 wks	15-17 wks	18 wks +	Terminated	Total
Hrs usually worked									
1-7 hrs									22,128
8-14 hrs								26,817	28,832
15-19 hrs								[26,565
20-24 hrs								22,117	37,104
25-29 hrs									25,503
30-34 hrs								23,394	34,702
35-39 hrs							29,710	169,357	227,417
40-44 hrs							28,397	239,989	333,533
45-49 hrs								12,925	13,881
50 & +								19,434	26,126
Total		25,045	18,208	33,819			71,517	564,194	775,791

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE A: PAID WORKERS BY WEEKS WORKED (TOTAL AND AVERAGE), CANADA 1993.

AWS SLID Number of weeks Total % cum. Total % cum. ('000) ('000') 131 1 < 1 wks. 1-9 wks. 714 5 1,542 10 11 10-13 wks. 490 4 9 | 794 5 16 983 6.5 22.5 14-19 wks. 503 4 13 7 29 20-27 wks.934 20 984 6.5 5 25 931 35 28-35 wks. 661 6 31 41 36-43 wks. 776 6 983 6 44-51 wks.| 1,117 9 40 | 1,361 9 50 7,756 60 7,704 50 100 > 51 wks. 100 12,949 100 Total 15,413 100 Ave. Number wks 42.3 38.6

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE B: WORK ABSENCES (TERMINATED AND NON TERMINATED), CANADA 1993.

	 AWS	!	 SLID)
	Total ('000)		Total ('000)	· %
TERMINATED	673	90	564	73
NON TERMINATED	76	10	212	27
TOTAL	 749	100	776	100

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE C: ABSENCES FOR SICKNESS, PREGNANCY OR ACCIDENT (TERMINATED), CANADA, 1993.

		AWS			SLID	
Length	Total ('000)	%	% cum.	Total ('000)	%	 % cum.
2 wks.	144	21	21	119	21	21
3-5 wks.	234	35	 56	142	25	46
6-8 wks.	114	17	73	70	12	58
9-11 wks.	35	5	78	58	10	68
12-14 wks.	45	7	85	32	6	74
15-17 wks.	30	4	89	16	3	77
18 wks.& +	71	11	100	127	23	100
Total	673	100		564	100	
	+					

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE D: ABSENCES FOR SICKNESS, PREGNANCY OR ACCIDENT (NON TERMINATED) CANADA, 1993.

	AWS			 SLID		
Length	Total ('000)		% cum.	Total ('000)	 %	* cum
2 wks.	-		 -	24	11	11
3-5 wks.	-			25	12	23
6-8 wks.	-			18	9	32
9-11 wks.	6	8	8	34	16	48
12-14 wks.	8	11	19	16	7	55
15-17 wks.	11	15	34	24	11	66
18 wks.& +	51	66	100	72	34	100
Total	76	100	 	212	100	ا

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS AND ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE E: NUMBER OF ABSENCES (2 OR MORE WEEKS) FOR SICKNESS, PREGNANCY OR ACCIDENT, CANADA 1993.

 Nb of absences	AW	'S	 SLID		
Terminated	Total ('000)	 %	Total (`000)		
1	615	91	472	84	
2	48	 7	61	11	
3 or more	10	2	31	5	
 Total	673	100	564	100	
 Non Terminated				'	
1	65	85	179	85	
2	9	12	24	11	
3 or more	2	3	9	4 1	
Total	76	100	212	100,0	

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS
TABLE F: PAID WORKERS RECEIVING COMPENSATION DURING THE YEAR, CANADA 1993.

	UNEMPLOYME		WORKER'S		SOCIAL ASSISTANCE	TOTAL
Sex	TOTAL (`000)		TOTAL	· %		TOTAL %
 Male 	 1,807	55	 291	64		2,372 55
Female	1,490	45	163	36	298 52 	1,951 45
TOTAL	3,297	100	 454	100	572 100	4,324 100

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}$ These workers did not necessarily have a work absence during the year.

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS

TABLE G1: PAID WORKERS WITH A WORK ABSENCE AND RECEIVED COMPENSATION

DURING THE YEAR, CANADA 1993.

 SLID	UNEMPLO		WORKER'S		TOTA	AL
ABSENCES	TOTAL ('000)	 %	TOTAL (`000)	~	TOTAL ('000)	% %
 TERMINATED	195	 69 	111	 72	 306 	70
NON TERMINATED	89	31	44	28 I	133 	30
TOTAL	284	100	155	100	439	100

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ These workers did not necessarily receive their compensation during their work absence.

ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY

TABLE G2: WORK ABSENCES FOR WHICH PAID WORKERS RECEIVED COMPENSATION 1, CANADA 1993.

AWS	UNEMPLO		WORKER'S	_	TOTAL		
ABSENCES	TOTAL	 %	TOTAL	 %	TOTAL	 % 	
 TERMINATED	77		156	 89	233	 87	
NON TERMINATED	16	 17	19	11	35	13	
TOTAL	93	 _ 100 	175	 100	268	100	

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ These workers could have received other types of compensation (not included here) for their work absence.

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS
TABLE H1: WORK ABSENCES FOR WHICH PAYMENT ¹ WAS RECEIVED FROM
THE EMPLOYER ², CANADA 1993.

 SLID	COMPLETEI	ΤΑ 	PARTIAL	LY	NOT PAID		TOTA	
ABSENCES	TOTAL (`000)		TOTAL ('000)	%	TOTAL		TOTAL	
TERMINATI	 ED 181 	 80 	102	 75 	 281 	 68 	 564 	73
NON TERI	M. 45	20	34	25	133	32	212	27
TOTAL	 226 	100	136	100	 414 	100	 776	100

¹ "PAID" means that pay was received from the employer by paid workers.
² Payment from the employer does not necessarily exclude other types of compensation which could be received during a work absence.

ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY TABLE H2: WORK ABSENCES FOR WHICH PAYMENT 1 WAS RECEIVED FROM THE EMPLOYER 2 , CANADA 1993.

AWS	COMPLETEL PAID	Υ	PARTIALI PAID	LY	NOT PAID		TOTA:	L	
ABSENCES	TOTAL (`000)	· %	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	 % 	TOTAL		
 TERMINATED	 194	92	45	87		89	673	90	
NON TERM.	 16	8	7	13	53	11	 76	10	
TOTAL	 210	100	52	100		100	 749	100	

¹ "PAID" means that pay was received from the employer by paid workers. ² Payment from the employer does not necessarily exclude other types of compensation which could be received during a work absence.

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS
Table I: WORK ABSENCES (TERMINATED AND NON TERMINATED),
RECEIVED WORKER'S COMPENSATION, CANADA 1993.

AWS | SLID |

Total % Total % |

('000) ('000) |

TERMINATED | 156 89 | 319 81 |

NON TERMINATED | 19 11 | 77 19 |

TOTAL | 175 100 | 396 100 |

SURVEY OF LABOUR AND INCOME DYNAMICS TABLE J1: WORK ABSENCES FOR WHICH PAYMENT 1 Table WAS RECEIVED FROM THE EMPLOYER 2 , CANADA 1993.

SLID	COMPLETE:	LY	PARTIALI PAID	LY	NOT PAID		TOTA:	L
ABSENCES	TOTAL ('00	 %	0')	 % 00)	TOTAL ('0	 % 00)	 TOTAL (`'(%)00)
 TERMINAT	· ED 181	80	102	 75	281	68	 564	73
NON TERM	. 45	 20 	34	25	133	32	212	27
TOTAL	226	100	136	100	414	100	776	100

¹ "PAID" means that pay was received from the employer by paid workers. ² Payment from the employer does not necessarily exclude other types of compensation which could be received during a work absence.

ABSENCE FROM WORK SURVEY

TABLE J2: WORK ABSENCES FOR WHICH PAYMENT 1 Table WAS RECEIVED FROM THE EMPLOYER 2 , CANADA 1993.

AWS	COMPLETE:	LY	PARTIALI PAID	LY	NOT PAID		TOTA	AL
ABSENCES	TOTAL ('000')	 %	 TOTAL (`000)	%	TOTAL	 % 	TOTAL (`000)	 %
 TERMINAT	 ED 194	 92	45	87	 434	89	· 673 	90
NON TER	M. 16	 8 	 7	13	53	11	 76	10
TOTAL	210	100	52	100	 487 	100	749	100

 $Table^1$ "PAID" means that pay was received from the employer by paid workers.

² Payment from the employer does not necessarily exclude other types of compensation which could be received during a work absence.

ABSENCE FROM WORK, FEBRUARY 1994

TABLE 4A: 1993 PAID EMPLOYEES BY AGE GROUPS BY SEX BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (WEEKS WORKED), CANADA

(ROUNDED TO UNITS)

SEX BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (WEEKS WORKED)	AGE GROUPS							TOTAL
,	15-19	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-69	
	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	YEARS OLD	
Q22 + Q23 HOW MANY WEEKS IN								
1993 WAS A PAID EMPLOYEE?								
SEX								
MALE								
1-9 WEEKS	161,211	54,851	43,682	52,926	24,674	13,690	5,816	356,85
10-13 WEEKS	51,356	68,358	58,570	32,179	21,969	18,700	-	253,27
14-19 WEEKS	43,635	67,085	63,213	24,518	18,504	20,000	6,551	243,50
20-27 WEEKS	72,211	72,615	134,654	86,867	69,825	42,542	4,409	483,12
28-35 WEEKS	33,376	95,813	92,175	68,749	36,054	22,613	13,951	362,73
36-43 WEEKS	35,206	57,314	98,824	86,885	56,626	24,246	-	362,63
44-51 WEEKS	23,344	62,107	166,858	153,248	105,803	52,905	4,869	569,13
52 WEEKS	144,511	356,001	1 215 208	1 274 837	884,194	374 34	26,447	4 275 53
TOTAL WEEKS	564 85	834,143	1 873 184	1 780 210	1 217 648	569,036	67,719	6 906 79
FEMALE								
1-9 WEEKS	113,911	42,309	70,104	57,046	47,952	23,035	-	356,18
10-13 WEEKS	43,686	53,953	57,959	42,835	25,009	10,663	-	236,45
14-19 WEEKS	42,149	66,534	65,483	45,811	26,777	11,057	-	259,55
20-27 WEEKS	56,905	69,342	148,424	95,299	54,143	21,996	4,903	451,01
28-35 WEEKS	31,090	57,694	103,291	61,856	27,260	15,561	-	297,91
36-43 WEEKS	39,900	34,526	119,386	108,412	78,158	30,158	-	413,01
44-51 WEEKS	31,661	67,764	162,137	145,958	96,572	42,441	-	547,91
52 WEEKS	130 33	326 77	936,162	1 103 379	714,964	250 12	18,923	3 480 64
TOTAL WEEKS	489,632	718,892	1 662 945	1 660 594	1 070 835	405,033	34,752	6 042 68
TOTAL								
1-9 WEEKS	275,122	97,160	113,786	109,972	72,626	36,725	7,640	713,032
10-13 WEEKS	95,042	122,311	116,529	75,013	46,977	29,363	4,492	489,72
14-19 WEEKS	85,784	133,619	128,696	70,329	45,281	31,057	8,296	503,06
20-27 WEEKS	129,116	141,957	283,078	182,166	123,968	64,538	9,311	934,13
28-35 WEEKS	64,466	153,507	195,465	130,605	63,313	38,174	15,109	660,64
36-43 WEEKS	75,106	91,840	218,210	195,297	134,783	54,405	6,003	775,64
44-51 WEEKS	55,005	129,871	328,995	299,207	202,375	95,347	6,250	
52 WEEKS	274,841	682 77	2 151 370	2 378 215	1 599 158	624 46	45,369	7,756,18
TOTAL WEEKS	1 054 481	1 553 036	3 536 129	3 440 804	2 288 483	974,069	102,471	12 949 47

⁻ Data not available.

ABSENCE FROM WORK, FEBRUARY 1994								
TABLE 4B: 1993 PAID EMPLOYEES WHO W								
BY AGE GROUPS BY SEX BY Q	22 PLUS Q23 (V	VEEKS WOF	RKED), CANA	ADA				
(ROUNDED TO UNITS)								
WORKED 8 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK			1		T	1		
SEX BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (WEEKS WORKED	D)							
	AGE							TOTAL
	15-19	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-69	
	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	
Q22 + Q23 HOW MANY WEEKS IN 1993 WA	AS A PAID EM	IPLOYEE?						
SEX								
MALE								
1-9 WEEKS	142,879	50,175	42,698	52,271	24,230	13,690	5,816	331,7
10-13 WEEKS	47,303	67,165	57,549	31,720	21,969	18,700	-	246,5
14-19 WEEKS	36,027	65,905	63,213	23,824	18,504	19,442	6,551	233,4
20-27 WEEKS	60,470	69,658	133,426	83,580	69,825	40,901	4,409	462,2
28-35 WEEKS	33,262	95,486	92,175	67,052	36,054	22,488		360,4
36-43 WEEKS	30,131	57,102	98,824	86,755	56,142	24,246		356,7
44-51 WEEKS	18,402	62,107	166,491	152,584	105,803	52,905	4,869	563,1
52 WEEKS	129,647	354,298			883,211	372,028		4.245.0
TOTAL WEEKS	498,120	821,897			1,215,738	564,400		6,799,4
FEMALE	1		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,,	1,-10,100		33,010	
Q22 + Q23 HOW MANY WEEKS IN								
1993 WAS A PAID EMPLOYEE?								
1-9 WEEKS	103,009	41,885	66,788	53,417	43,997	20,090	-	330,6
10-13 WEEKS	39,724	53,953	57,409	40,504	24,708	10,663		228,9
14-19 WEEKS	37,955	65,837	65,155	44,075	25,682	11,057		251,5
20-27 WEEKS	50,837	68,376	141,733	87,176	51,066	21,996		426.0
28-35 WEEKS	26,853	56,751		58,384	26,978	15,457	-	285,5
36-43 WEEKS	35,024	34,526	117,103	102,782	71,983	29,063		392,9
44-51 WEEKS	30,123	65,608	158,340	144,709	95,860	39,540	-	535,5
52 WEEKS	120,836	321,782		1,091,723	709,327	242,014		3,433,4
TOTAL WEEKS	444,361	708,719		1,622,768	1,049,601	389,879		5,884,7
TOTAL WEEKS	444,501	700,713	1,030,200	1,022,700	1,043,001	309,019	33,140	3,004,7
1-9 WEEKS	245,888	92,061	109,486	105,688	68,227	33,779	7,281	662,4
10-13 WEEKS	87,027	121.118			46.677			475,5
		, ,	,		-,-	30,499		484.9
14-19 WEEKS	73,982	131,743	128,368	67,898	44,186			- /-
20-27 WEEKS	111,307	138,034	275,158	170,756	120,891	62,897		888,3
28-35 WEEKS	60,114	152,237	192,146	125,436	63,032	37,945		646,0
36-43 WEEKS	65,155	91,627	215,927	189,537	128,125	53,309		749,6
44-51 WEEKS	48,525	127,714	324,831	297,293	201,663			1,098,7
52 WEEKS	250,482	676,080	2,137,010	2,364,845	1,592,539	614,042		7,678,5 12,684,2
TOTAL WEEKS	942,480	1,530,615	3,497,884	3,393,677	2,265,339	954,280	99,963	

ABSENCE FROM WORK, FEBRUARY 1990 TABLE 4C: 1989 PAID EMPLOYEES BY SEX BY AGE GROUPS BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED), CANADA (ROUNDED TO UNITS) AGE GROUPS BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (AVERAGE SEX Total WEEKS WORKED) MALE **FEMALE** AGE GROUPS 15-19 YEARS OLD TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 14,932,958 14,001,638 28,934,596 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 564.85 489.632 1,054,481 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 26.44 27.44 20-24 YEARS OLD TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 30,576,586 26,833,918 57,410,504 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 834.143 718.892 1,553,036 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 36.66 37.33 36.97 25-34 YEARS OLD 82,945,963 69,852,624 152,798,588 TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 1,873,184 1,662,945 3,536,129 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 44.28 42.01 43.21 35-44 YEARS OLD 82,364,159 156,703,974 TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 74,339,815 1,780,210 1,660,594 3,440,804 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 46.27 44.77 45.54 45-54 YEARS OLD TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 56,708,323 47,966,132 104,674,455 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 1,217,648 1,070,835 2,288,483 46.57 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 44.79 45.74 55-64 YEARS OLD TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 25,278,604 17,574,462 42,853,066 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 569.036 405.033 974.069 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 44.42 43.39 43.99 65-69 YEARS OLD TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED...... 2,451,753 1,357,334 3,809,086 34.752 102.471 TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS..... 67.719 AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED..... 36.21 39.06 37.17 Total

295,258,344

6,906,790

42.75

251,925,924

6,042,684

41.69

547,184,269

12,949,473

42.26

TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED......

TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS.....

AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED.....

ABSENCE FROM WORK, FEBRUARY 1990

TABLE 4D: 1989 PAID EMPLOYEES WHO WORKED 8 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK BY SEX BY AGE GROUPS BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED), CANADA

(ROUNDED TO UNITS)

WORKED 08 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK

AGE GROUPS BY Q22 PLUS Q23 (AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED)

	SE	Χ	Total
	MALE	FEMALE	
AGE GROUPS			
15-19 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	13,214,859	12,784,089	25,998,948
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	498.12	444.361	942.48
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	26.53	28.77	27.59
20-24 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	30,337,928	26,404,581	56,742,508
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	821.897	708.719	1,530,615
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	36.91	37.26	37.07
25-34 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	82,468,339	68,985,815	151,454,154
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	1,861,616	1,636,268	3,497,884
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	44.3	42.16	43.3
35-44 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	82,084,168	73,081,230	155,165,399
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	1,770,909	1,622,768	3,393,677
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	46.35	45.03	45.72
45-54 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	56,637,760	47,280,865	103,918,625
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	1,215,738	1,049,601	2,265,339
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	46.59	45.05	45.87
55-64 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	25,104,854	16,964,773	42,069,627
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	564.4	389.879	954.28
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	44.48	43.51	44.09
65-69 YEARS OLD			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	2,404,834	1,305,057	3,709,891
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	66.816	33.146	99.963
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	35.99	39.37	37.1
Total			
TOTAL NUMBER OF WEEKS WORKED	292,252,742	246,806,410	539,059,153
TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	6,799,495	5,884,743	12,684,238
AVERAGE WEEKS WORKED	42.98	41.94	42.5

TARLE 404: 4000 ARCENCES BUE TO	CICIANECO	V 045 (DUD	A TION OF A	OCENIOEO I		D 045 (NILIA	4DED OF ADO	ENOEO) I	DV 044 (LIQLIE	OC LICITALIA
TABLE 12A: 1993 ABSENCES DUE TO WORKED), CANADA, AGES 15-64	SICKNESS B	Y Q15 (DUR/	ATION OF AL	BSENCES I	ENDED) ANI	D Q15 (NUI	IBER OF ABS	ENCES) I	BY Q11 (HOUF	RS USUALLY
(ROUNDED TO UNITS)										
Q11 (HOURS USUALLY WORKED)				Q15 - D	URATION C	OF ABSENC	ES ENDED			
	2 WEEKS	3-5 WEEKS	6-8 WEEKS	9-11 WEEKS	12-14 WEEKS	15-17 WEEKS	18 WEEKS AND OVER	TOTAL	Q15- ABSENCES NOT	Q15- ABSENCES ENDED
Q11 - HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK D	ID USUALL	Y WORK?						WEEKO	LNDLD	ENDED
1-7 HOURS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,668	-	8,28
8-14 HOURS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,744	-	14,32
15-19 HOURS	5,772	5,552	-	-	-	-	-	17,110	-	19,08
20-24 HOURS	9,867	16,142	-	-	-	-	-	36,451	5,468	41,91
25-29 HOURS	-	-	4,751	-	-	-	-	14,881	-	17,99
30-34 HOURS	5,756	13,122	-	5,509	4,845	4,830	5,396	42,667	-	45,75
35-39 HOURS	31,403	49,810	31,950	8,276	11,249	4,405	18,754	155,848	16,760	172,60
40-44 HOURS	58,234	108,998	57,054	8,581	18,152	12,123	34,193	297,335	38,903	336,23
45-49 HOURS	7,720	14,132	-	-	-	-	-	31,347	-	34,15
50 HOURS AND OVER	14,344	20,793	5,467	-	4,223	-	6,849	55,955	-	58,85
00110011071110012111111111111111		234,469	114.243	35,001	44.946	29,683	74 000	673,005	76,222	749,22

ABSENCE FROM WORK, FEBRUARY 1994

TABLE 12B: 1994 ABSENCES DUE TO SICKNESS BY Q16 (DURATION OF ABSENCES NOT ENDED) AND Q15 (NUMBER OF ABSENCES) BY Q11 (HOURS USUALLY WORKED), CANADA, AGES 15-64

(ROUNDED TO UNITS)

Q11 (HOURS USUALLY WORKED)

9-11 WEEKS	12-14 WEEKS	15-17 WEEKS	18 WEEKS AND OVER	TOTAL WEEKS	Q15- ABSENC ES NOT ENDED	Q15- ABSENCES ENDED AND NOT ENDED			
-	-	-	-	-	7,668	8,288			
-	-	-	-	-	13,744	14,327			
-	-	-	-	-	17,110	19,089			
-	-	-	-	5,468	36,451	41,919			
-	-	-	-	-	14,881	17,996			
-	-	-	-	-	42,667	45,757			
-	-	4,305	9,804	16,760	155,848	172,608			
4,477	4,715	-	26,953	38,903	297,335	336,238			
-	-	-	-	-	31,347	34,153			
-	-	-	-	-	55,955	58,852			
6,375	8,102	11,193	50,553	76,222	673,005	749,227			
	- - - - - - - 4,477			OVER OVER	OVER OVER OVER	OVER ENDED 7,668 13,744 17,110 5,468 36,451 14,881 42,667 4,305 9,804 16,760 155,848 4,477 4,715 - 26,953 38,903 297,335 31,347 55,955	OVER ENDED AND NOT ENDED 7,668 8,288 13,744 14,327 17,110 19,089 5,468 36,451 41,919 14,881 17,996 42,667 45,757 4,305 9,804 16,760 155,848 172,608 4,477 4,715 - 26,953 38,903 297,335 336,238 31,347 34,153 55,955 58,852	OVER ENDED AND NOT ENDED 7,668 8,288 13,744 14,327 17,110 19,089 5,468 36,451 41,919 14,881 17,996 42,667 45,757 4,305 9,804 16,760 155,848 172,608 4,477 4,715 - 26,953 38,903 297,335 336,238 31,347 34,153 55,955 58,852	OVER Solution Color Col

APPENDIX 3 1994 AWS QUESTIONNAIRE

Absence from	1 FORM NO. 06
work- 1994	Collected under the authority of the Statistics Act. Revise
WOIK 1001	Statutes of Canada, 1985, chapter S19. Français au verso
Docket no. 2 Nurvey dat Assignment no. 4 NO YR	P.S.U Group Cluster Rot. no. Listin; Mult
line No. Given name	Telephone no. Age
6 7 Surname	
8	
FEBRUARY'S SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTIONS CONCERN'S ABSENCES FROM WORK DUE TO ILLNESS, ACCIDENT OR PREGNANCY, DURING THE LAST YEAR THAT IS, FROM JANUARY 1, 1993 TO DECEMBER 31, 1993.	17. What kind of financial compensation did receive last period? (Mark all types of compensation received) None Go to 18
10. Did work as a paid employee in 1993?	Are there any others? (Mark all other types of compensation received) For each type of compensation received
Yes	How many week of did receive ? (Repeat type of compensation) No. of
11. How many hours a week did usually work as a paid	weeks 2
employee ?	Unemployment Insurance —
No. of hours	Group Insurance 4
	Automobile Insurance — 5
12. At any time in 1993 did leave a job, or was absent from work for 2 or more consecutive weeks because of his/her own illness, accident or pregnancy?	Full pay from employer
Yes	Partial pay from employer — O
13. How many separate periods of 2 or more consecutive weeks	Other financial compensation O
was unable to work due to his/her own illness, accident or pregnancy? Do not include any period that began before	18. <u>Interviewer Check Items:</u>
January 1, 1993.	- If 0 2 or more periods in 13 O to 19
	· Otherwise ²
No. of periods If none, enter 00, and go to 23	 The first questions asked about's last absence. The next 2 questions concern the absence before that.
	20. What this previous period of absence due to illness, due to
14. Of these periods, was the last period due to illness, due to accident or due to pregnancy?	accident or due to pregnancy? Illness Accident Pregnancy
account of due to programly .	1 O 2 O 40
Illness Accident Pregnancy	21. How many consecutive weeks was this previous absence?
0 0 0	No. of week
15. How many consecutive weeks was this last absence from beginning to end?	22. Without including absences of 2 or more consecutive weeks due
No. of weeks → Go to 17	to's own illness, accident or pregnancy, how many weeks in 1993 was a paid employee? No. of week
Absence not ended Go to 16	→ Go to 24
16. Up to the end of last week, how many weeks has been	23. How many weeks in 1993 was a paid employee?
continuously absent from work?	No. of weeks
No. of weeks	24. Interview: Proxy Non-proxy
	O O
N (Item no.	TES See over for additional NOTES O
99	99
99	99