Question for written answer E-002508/2023 to the Commission

Rule 138

 $\label{eq:continuous} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Vera Tax} (S\&D), \textbf{Mohammed Chahim} (S\&D), \textbf{Lara Wolters} (S\&D), \textbf{Thijs Reuten} (S\&D), \textbf{Paul Tang} (S\&D), \textbf{Kim Van Sparrentak} (Verts/ALE), \textbf{Bas Eickhout} (Verts/ALE), \textbf{Tineke Strik} (Verts/ALE), \textbf{Samira Rafaela} (Renew) \\ \end{tabular}$

Subject: Procedure for appointment of the new EU Commissioner from the Netherlands and

gender equality

Following the departure of Frans Timmermans, Vice-President, the Netherlands Government has nominated a new Commissioner: Wopke Hoekstra. That is striking. One of the Commission's priorities is to foster and improve gender equality. Accordingly, in the run-up to the Commission's term of office and when Commissioners have had to be replaced, it has been consistently requested that both a male and a female candidate be nominated. That has not happened in this instance.

- 1. Why, as part of the process of appointing a new EU Commissioner from the Netherlands, has the Commission opted not to ask the Netherlands to nominate both a woman and a man?
- 2. How are the principles of transparency, democratic oversight and gender equality being safeguarded in this process?
- 3. Should it not be precisely the Commission that sets a good example regarding gender equality in the institutions by giving men and women equal opportunities?

We would welcome your reply at the latest before Mr Hoekstra's hearing in Parliament.

Submitted: 31.8.2023