

**Priority question for written answer P-003328/2021/rev.1
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Javi López (S&D), Marcos Ros Sempere (S&D), Javier Moreno Sánchez (S&D), Eider Gardiazabal Rubial (S&D), Lina Gálvez Muñoz (S&D), Estrella Durá Ferrandis (S&D), Jonás Fernández (S&D), Ibán García Del Blanco (S&D), Isabel García Muñoz (S&D), Nicolás González Casares (S&D), Alicia Homs Ginel (S&D), Juan Fernando López Aguilar (S&D), César Luena (S&D), Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro (S&D), Adriana Maldonado López (S&D), Domènec Ruiz Devesa (S&D), Iratxe García Pérez (S&D)

Subject: 'Parental pin' – veto of LGBTIQ educational content at schools in Murcia (Spain)

In Murcia (Spain), in application of its constitutionally recognised competences in the field of education, the Regional Ministry of Education and Culture has adopted an instruction requiring all educational establishments to request the express authorisation of parents if they want to include their children in any activity that concerns 'socially controversial moral issues or sexuality that may intrude on their freedom of conscience and right to privacy'.

In view of the Commission President's statement on 23 June 2021 describing the Hungarian Government's recent legislative reform that bans LGBTIQ content as discriminatory and contrary to the EU's fundamental values, and given that Murcia's 'parental pin' also makes it possible to restrict access to sexual and affective education, leaving it up to parents to decide whether their children attend or not, in violation of the right of all young people to a comprehensive education, and fostering discrimination:

1. What view does the Commission take of the fact that parents in Murcia are now able to veto educational content?
2. In line with the action that might be taken to counter the Hungarian legislative reform, what action will the Commission take to protect both EU values in Murcia and children's right to education?