

A conjecture on independent sets and graph covers

Yusuke Watanabe^a

^aThe Institute of Statistical Mathematics 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8562,
Japan Phone: +81-(0)50-5533-8500

Abstract

In this article, I present a simple conjecture on the number of independent sets on graph covers. The conjecture implies that the partition function of a binary pairwise attractive model is greater than that of the Bethe approximation.

Key words: graph cover, independent set, Bethe approximation,

1. Terminologies

Throughout this article, $G = (V, E)$ is a finite graph with vertices V and undirected edges E . For each undirected edge of G , we make a pair of oppositely directed edges, which form a set of directed edges \vec{E} . Thus, $|\vec{E}| = 2|E|$.

An M -cover of a graph G is its M -fold covering space¹. All M -covers are explicitly constructed using permutation voltage assignment as follows [1]. A *permutation voltage assignment* of G is a map

$$\alpha : \vec{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_M \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \alpha(u \rightarrow v) = \alpha(v \rightarrow u)^{-1} \quad \forall uv \in E, \quad (1)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_M is the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, M\}$. Then an M -cover $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ of G is given by $\tilde{V} := V \times \{1, \dots, M\}$ and

$$(v, k)(u, l) \in \tilde{E} \Leftrightarrow uv \in E \text{ and } l = \alpha(v \rightarrow u)(k). \quad (2)$$

If an M -cover is M copies of G then it is called *trivial M -cover* and denoted by $G^{\oplus M}$. This is obtained by identity permutations. The *natural projection*, π , from a cover \tilde{G} to G is obtained by forgetting the ‘‘layer number’’. That is, $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is given by $\pi(u, i) = u$ and $\pi : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ is given by $\pi((v, k)(u, l)) = vu$.

An independent set I of a graph G is a subset of V such that none of the elements in I are adjacent in G . Formally, I is an independent set iff $u, v \in I \Rightarrow uv \notin E$. The multivariate *independent set polynomial* of G is defined by

$$p(G) := \sum_{I: \text{independent set}} \prod_{v \in I} x_v, \quad (3)$$

with indeterminates x_v ($v \in V$).

URL: watay@ism.ac.jp (Yusuke Watanabe)

¹Interpret graphs as topological spaces.

2. The conjecture

We extend the definition of the projection map π over the multivariate polynomial ring. First, let us define a map Π for each indeterminate by $\Pi(x_v) = x_{\Pi(v)}$, where $v \in \tilde{V}$. Then this is uniquely extended to the polynomial ring as a ring homomorphism; for example $\Pi(x_v + x_{v'}) = \Pi(x_v) + \Pi(x_{v'})$ and $\Pi(x_v x_{v'}) = \Pi(x_v)\Pi(x_{v'})$.

Conjecture 1. ² For any bipartite graph G and its M -cover \tilde{G} , we conjecture the following relation:

$$\Pi(p(\tilde{G})) \preceq p(G)^M, \quad (4)$$

where Π is defined as above and the symbol \preceq means the inequalities for all coefficients of monomials.

We can interpret the conjecture more explicitly as follows. For a subset U of V , define $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{G}, U) := \{I \subset \tilde{V} \mid I \text{ is independent set, } \pi(I) = U\}$, where $\pi(I)$ is the image of I . Since $p(G)^M = \Pi(p(G^{\oplus M}))$, the conjecture is equivalent to the following statement:

$$|\mathcal{I}(\tilde{G}, U)| \leq |\mathcal{I}(G^{\oplus M}, U)| \text{ for all } U \subset V. \quad (5)$$

Example 1. Let G be a cycle graph of length four and let \tilde{G} be its 3-cover that is isomorphic to the cycle of length twelve. Then,

$$p(G) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4, \quad (6)$$

$$p(\tilde{G}) = 1 + \sum_{v=1}^4 \sum_{m=1}^3 x_{(v,m)} + \dots, \quad (7)$$

$$\Pi(p(\tilde{G})) = 1 + 3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + \dots \quad (8)$$

It takes time and effort to check the conjecture, however, it is true in this case.

Remark. The above conjecture is claimed for the pair (bipartite graph, independent set). I also conjecture analogous properties for (bipartite graph, matching), (graph with even number of vertices, perfect matching) and (graph, Eulerian set³).

3. Implication of the conjecture

The conjecture originates from the theory of the Bethe approximation. The *partition function* of a binary pairwise model on a graph G is

$$Z(G; \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{h}) := \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \{0,1\}^V} \exp\left(\sum_{uv \in E} J_{uv} s_u s_v + \sum_{v \in V} h_v s_v\right), \quad (9)$$

²I have checked the conjecture for many examples by computer.

³A subset of edges is Eulerian if it induces a subgraph that only has vertices of degree two and zero.

where the weights (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{h}) are called *interactions*.⁴ It is called *attractive* if $J_{vu} \geq 0$ for all $vu \in E$. The *Bethe partition function*⁵ Z_B is defined by [2]

$$Z_B := \exp\left(-\min_q F_B(q)\right) \quad (10)$$

$$= \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \langle Z(\tilde{G}) \rangle^{1/M}, \quad (11)$$

where F_B is the Bethe free energy and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the mean with respect to the $M!^{|E|}$ covers. (Details are omitted. See [2].)

Theorem 1. *If Conjecture 1 holds, then*

$$Z \geq Z_B \quad (12)$$

*holds for any binary pairwise attractive models.*⁶

Proof. From (11), the assertion of the theorem is proved if we show that

$$Z(G)^M \geq Z(\tilde{G}) \quad (13)$$

for any M -cover \tilde{G} of G . In the following, we see that the partition function can be written by the independent set polynomial and thus the above inequality holds under the assumption of Conjecture 1.

$$Z(G) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \{0,1\}^V} \exp\left(\sum_{uv \in E} J_{uv} s_u s_v + \sum_{v \in V} h_v s_v\right) \quad (14)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \{0,1\}^V} \prod_{uv \in E} (1 + A_{uv} s_u s_v) \prod_{v \in V} \exp(h_v s_v) \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{S \subseteq E} \left(\prod_{uv' \in S} A_{uv'} \right) \prod_{v \in V} \left(\sum_{s_v=0,1} s_v^{d_v(S)} \exp(h_v s_v) \right) \quad (16)$$

$$= \prod_{v \in V} \exp(h_v) \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq E, U \subseteq V \\ S \text{ and } U \text{ are not "adjacent"}}} \prod_{uv \in S} A_{uv} \prod_{v \in U} B_v \quad (17)$$

$$= \prod_{v \in V} \exp(h_v) p(G'; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), \quad (18)$$

where $d_v(S)$ is the number of edges in S connecting to v , $A_{uv} = e^{J_{uv}} - 1$, $B_v = e^{-h_v}$ and G' is a bipartite graph obtained by adding a new vertex on each edge of G . \square

⁴In the following, for a cover \tilde{G} of G , we think that interactions are naturally induced from G .

⁵This is computed from the absolute minimum of the Bethe free energy; other Bethe approximations of the partition function corresponding to local minima are smaller than Z_B .

⁶In a quite limited situation, the inequality is proved in [3].

Remark. The Bethe approximation can also be applied to the computation of the permanent of non-negative matrices. From the combinatorial viewpoint, this problem is related to the (weighted) perfect matching problem on complete bipartite graphs. Vontobel analyzed this problem and pose a conjecture analogous to Eq.(5) [4]. This conjecture implies the inequality between the permanent and its Bethe approximation, $Z \geq Z_B$, given his formula Eq.(11). The statement $Z \geq Z_B$ is, however, directly proved by Gurvits, generalizing Schrijver's permanent inequality [5].

References

- [1] W. Gross Thomas and L. Jonathan. Generating all graph coverings by permutation voltage assignments. *Discrete Mathematics*, 18(3):273–283, 1977.
- [2] P.O. Vontobel. Counting in graph covers: a combinatorial characterization of the bethe entropy function. *submitted to IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, available at arXiv:1012.0065v1*.
- [3] E.B. Sudderth, M.J. Wainwright, and A.S. Willsky. Loop series and bethe variational bounds in attractive graphical models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 20:1425–1432, 2008.
- [4] P.O. Vontobel. The bethe permanent of a non-negative matrix. *available at arXiv:1107.4196v1*.
- [5] L. Gurvits. Unharnessing the power of schrijver's permanent inequality. *available at arXiv:1106.2844v2*.